## 495. The Crystal Structure of Bromodiphenylarsine.

## By J. Trotter.

Crystals of bromodiphenylarsine, $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{AsBr}$, are monoclinic, with four molecules in a unit cell of dimensions $a=11 \cdot 00, b=8 \cdot 56, c=12 \cdot 03 \AA$, $\beta=93.0^{\circ}$. The structure has been determined from projections along the $a$ - and $b$-crystal axes. The mean values of the bond lengths and valency angles (with estimated standard deviations) are: $\mathrm{As}-\mathrm{Br}=2.40 \pm 0.01$, $\mathrm{As}-\mathrm{C}=1.99 \pm 0.04, \quad \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}=1.39 \pm 0.03 \AA, \quad \angle \mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{As}-\mathrm{C}=95^{\circ} \pm 1^{\circ}$, $\angle \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{As}-\mathrm{C}=105^{\circ} \pm 2^{\circ}, \quad \angle \mathrm{As}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}=120^{\circ} \pm 2^{\circ}, \quad \angle \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}=120^{\circ} \pm 2^{\circ}$. The closest intramolecular contacts between phenyl groups are C $\cdots \mathrm{C} 3 \cdot 4$ and $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H} 2 \cdot 8 \AA$. One phenyl ring is rotated $\sim 90^{\circ}$ and the other about $30^{\circ}$ from their positions in an ideal model, with maximum overlap between both sets of aromatic $\pi$-orbitals and the arsenic lone pair, suggesting that only one ring is able to interact with the lone pair. All the intermolecular contacts correspond to normal van der Waals interactions.

A detailed $X$-ray analysis of the crystal and molecular structure of bromodiphenylarsine $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{AsBr}$ has been undertaken as part of a series of investigations of the stuctures and stereochemistry of arsenic compounds. The structure has been determined from projections along two crystal axes, and molecular geometry and dimensions, and intermolecular separations, have been obtained.

## Experimental

Almost colourless crystals of bromodiphenylarsine, suitable for $X$-ray work, were obtained by crystallization from $95 \%$ ethanol. The unit-cell dimensions and space group were determined from rotation and oscillation photographs of crystals rotating about the $a$ - and the $b$-axis, and $0 k l, h 0 l$, and $h 1 l$ Weissenberg films. An approximate value of the density was determined by flotation in aqueous silver nitrate. ${ }^{1}$

Crystal Data.-Bromodiphenylarsine, $\mathrm{C}_{12} \mathrm{H}_{10} \mathrm{AsBr} ; ~ M, ~ 309 \cdot 0$; m. p. $55^{\circ}$. Monoclinic, $a=11.00 \pm 0.04, b=8.56 \pm 0.03, c=12.03 \pm 0.04 \AA, \beta=93.0^{\circ} \pm 0.5^{\circ}$. Volume of the unit cell $=1131 \AA^{3} ; d$ calc. (with $Z=4$ ) $=1 \cdot 803$, measured $\sim 1 \cdot 8 \mathrm{~g} . \mathrm{cm} .^{-3}$. Absorption coefficient for $X$-rays, $\lambda=1.542 \AA, \mu=81 \cdot 2 \mathrm{~cm} .^{-1}$. Total number of electrons per unit cell $=$ $F(000)=600$. Absent spectra: $h 0 l$ when $h$ is odd, $0 k 0$ when $k$ is odd. Space group: $C^{5}{ }_{2 h}-P 2_{1} / a$.

The intensities of the $0 k l$ and $h 0 l$ reflexions were recorded on Weissenberg films for a crystal rotating in turn about the $a$ - and the $b$-axis, with $\mathrm{Cu}-K_{\alpha}$ radiation, the multiple-film technique being used to correlate strong and weak reflexions. The range of intensities measured was about 10,000 to 1 , the estimates being made visually. The crystal was approximately cubic in shape with edge 0.4 mm ., and absorption corrections were applied for each zone by assuming cylindrical cross-sections with radius 0.2 mm . The structure amplitudes were derived by the usual formulæ for a mosaic crystal, the absolute scale being established later by correlation with the calculated structure factors. 117 independent 0 kl reflexions and $118 \mathrm{h0l}$ reflexions were observed, representing $85 \%$ and $68 \%$, respectively, of the possible number observable under the experimental conditions.

Structure Analysis.-[010] Projection. The heavy-atom vectors on the $b$-axis Patterson map were easily distinguished, but were rather poorly resolved because of overlapping. However, co-ordinates were derived for the arsenic and bromine atoms, although it was not possible at this stage to distinguish between them. Structure factors were calculated for all the hol reflexions, with the heavy-atoms given equal weight, and a Fourier series was then summed by using as coefficients the measured structure amplitudes and the calculated signs for those reflexions whose signs were well established. On the resulting electron-density map the heavyatoms were well resolved, but still indistinguishable, and their positions had changed by about $0 \cdot 1-0 \cdot 2 \AA$ from the previous estimate. The rest of the map could not be interpreted in terms of any chemically reasonable structure. Structure factors were therefore recalculated with the revised heavy-atom co-ordinates, and a second Fourier series was summed; some signs were changed, and it was possible to include more terms. Further small shifts in heavy-atom positional parameters were indicated, and in addition all six atoms of one of the phenyl groups were clearly resolved. The second ring was also clearly defined although only one atom was separately resolved. New co-ordinates were obtained for the arsenic and the bromine atoms, which, although their peak heights were approximately identical, could now be distinguished by their different environments, and co-ordinates were also read off from the map for all twelve carbon atoms in the molecule. Structure factors were calculated for all the $h 0 l$ reflexions, with the scattering curve for bromine of Thomas and Umeda, ${ }^{2}$ corrected for anomalous dispersion of the $\mathrm{Cu}-K_{\alpha}$ radiation, by using values of $\Delta f^{\prime}$ and $\Delta f^{\prime \prime}$ given by Dauben and Templeton; ${ }^{3}$ the scattering factors of Berghuis et al. ${ }^{4}$ were used for arsenic and carbon, that for arsenic being corrected for anomalous dispersion. ${ }^{3}$ An overall temperature factor $B=7.5 \AA^{2}$ was determined from a plot of $\ln \left\{\left|F_{c}\right| /\left|F_{0}\right|\right\}$ against $\sin ^{2} \theta / \lambda^{2}$. This is a rather high value of $B$, but it is not unrealistic in view of the relatively low melting point. Refinement proceeded by difference syntheses until there were no further significant changes in positional parameters, the discrepancy between measured and calculated structure factors, for the observed reflexions, then being $13.8 \%$. The structure factors are listed in Table 2, and a final $F_{0}$ synthesis, computed with measured structure amplitudes and calculated signs, is shown in Fig. 1.
[100] Projection. Co-ordinates for the arsenic and bromine atoms were derived from the Patterson projection, and further analysis then proceeded as for the $h 0 l$ zone. Final measured

[^0]and calculated $0 k l$ structure factors are included in Table 2 (discrepancy factor $11 \cdot 8 \%$ ) and an electron-density projection along the $a$-axis is shown in Fig. 2.

Co-ordinates and molecular dimensions. The final positional parameters of the arsenic, bromine, and carbon atoms are listed in Table $1, x, y$, and $z$ being co-ordinates referred to the monoclinic crystal axes and expressed as fractions of the unit cell edges, and $X^{\prime}, Y$, and $Z^{\prime}$ co-ordinates in $\AA$ referred to orthogonal axes $a^{\prime}, b$, and $c$.


Fig. 2. (a) Electron-density projection along the $a$-axis. Contours at intervals of 2 e $\AA^{-2}$, except at the As and Br atoms, where contours above $10 \mathrm{e}^{-2}$ are at intervals of $5 \mathrm{e}^{-2}$. (b) Projection of the structure along [100].

Table 1.
Final positional parameters, and deviations $(\Delta)$ from the mean aromatic planes.

| Atom | $x$ | $y$ | $z$ | $X^{\prime}$ | $Y$ | $Z^{\prime}$ | $\Delta_{1}(\AA)$ | $\Delta_{2}(\AA)$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| As | -0.0140 | $0 \cdot 1636$ | $0 \cdot 2652$ | -0.154 | $1 \cdot 400$ | $3 \cdot 198$ | $+0 \cdot 02$ | -0.14 |
| Br | 0.0793 | $0 \cdot 4000$ | $0 \cdot 2033$ | $0 \cdot 871$ | 3.424 | $2 \cdot 400$ | - | - |
| C 1 | 0.082 | 0.024 | $0 \cdot 175$ | 0.900 | 0.202 | 2.059 | $+0.02$ | - |
| 2 | $0 \cdot 023$ | $-0.098$ | $0 \cdot 114$ | $0 \cdot 256$ | $-0.842$ | $1 \cdot 363$ | -0.01 | - |
| 3 | $0 \cdot 090$ | $-0.200$ | 0.051 | 0.989 | $-1.712$ | 0.558 | 0 | - |
| 4 | $0 \cdot 213$ | -0.176 | 0.046 | $2 \cdot 337$ | $-1.504$ | $0 \cdot 427$ | $+0.01$ | - |
| 5 | $0 \cdot 267$ | $-0.049$ | $0 \cdot 105$ | $2 \cdot 936$ | $-1.419$ | $1 \cdot 111$ | 0 | - |
| 6 | 0.204 | 0.051 | $0 \cdot 168$ | $2 \cdot 241$ | $0 \cdot 440$ | 1.903 | -0.01 | - |
| 7 | $0 \cdot 073$ | $0 \cdot 167$ | $0 \cdot 418$ | 0.801 | 1.428 | 4.988 | - | 0 |
| 8 | $0 \cdot 031$ | $0 \cdot 286$ | $0 \cdot 488$ | 0.344 | $2 \cdot 452$ | $5 \cdot 850$ | - | 0 |
| 9 | $0 \cdot 082$ | $0 \cdot 286$ | 0.593 | 0.899 | $2 \cdot 452$ | $7 \cdot 086$ | - | $+0.01$ |
| 10 | $0 \cdot 167$ | $0 \cdot 167$ | 0.619 | 1.838 | 1.428 | 7.352 | - | $-0.01$ |
| 11 | $0 \cdot 210$ | $0 \cdot 047$ | 0.550 | $2 \cdot 305$ | $0 \cdot 400$ | $6 \cdot 497$ | - | 0 |
| 12 | $0 \cdot 161$ | $0 \cdot 047$ | $0 \cdot 444$ | 1.767 | $0 \cdot 400$ | 5.251 | - | $+0.01$ |

The bond cistances and valency angles in the molecule, calculated from the co-ordinates of Table 1, are shown in Fig. 3. The closest intramolecular C $\cdots$ C contacts between phenyl groups are: $C_{1}-C_{12}=3.31 ; \quad C_{6}-C_{12}=3.38 ; \quad C_{6}-C_{7}=3.54 \AA$. The distance between the hydrogen atoms attached to $\mathrm{C}_{6}$ and $\mathrm{C}_{12}$ (assumed to lie on the ring diagonals with $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{H}=$ $1.08 \AA$ ) is 2.76 A .

The equations of the planes of the aromatic rings are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{6}: 0.1729 X^{\prime}-0.5965 Y+0.7838 Z^{\prime}-1.6290=0 \\
& \mathrm{C}_{7}-\mathrm{C}_{12}: 0.7330 X^{\prime}+0.5986 Y-0.3232 Z^{\prime}+0.1693=0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The deviations of the atoms from these planes are listed in the final columns of Table 1. The angle between the planes is $61^{\circ}$.

Standard deviations. The standard deviations of the atomic positions, calculated from 40

Table 2.
Measured and calculated structure factors.


Cruickshank's formulæ, ${ }^{5}$ are $\sigma(x)=\sigma(y)=\sigma(z)=0.005 \AA$ for arsenic and bromine, and $0.06 \AA$ for carbon.

Cruickshank's method of assessing accuracy is not strictly applicable to poorly resolved atoms, and thus the accuracy of the positions of the overlapped carbon atoms may not be as high as suggested by the above values. The standard deviations of the bond distances $(0.007 \AA$ for As-Br, $0.06 \AA$ for As-C, and $0.085 \AA$ for $C-C)$ might then be a little optimistic.

In comparing the lengths of bonds which are crystallographically different but chemically similar, we find that the maximum values of $\delta l / \sqrt{ }\left(\sigma_{1}{ }^{2}+\sigma_{2}{ }^{2}\right)$ are only 0.8 and 0.7 for As-C and $\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}$ bonds, respectively, so that none of the differences between chemically equivalent bonds is significant. This conclusion is still valid even if the method of assessment is underestimating

[^1]the errors by a factor of three, and this is not very likely, particularly for those atoms which are well resolved. No significance can be attached then to the variations of the bond distances in the aromatic rings; the mean $C-C$ length is $1.39 \AA$ (the standard deviation of this mean value being $0.085 / \sqrt{ } 12=0.025 \AA)$.

Similar considerations apply to chemically equivalent valency angles.
Intermolecular distances. The intermolecular distances correspond to van der Waals interactions. The shortest contact is a C-C distance of $3 \cdot 24 \AA$, but this is not significantly shorter than the usual separation of this type. The shorter contacts are illustrated in Fig. 4, which also shows that the arsenic lone pair occupies a considerable volume.


## Discussion

On the basis of the estimated standard deviations, differences between chemically equivalent bond lengths and valency angles are not significant. The mean values, with standard deviations, are:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{As}-\mathrm{Br} & =2.40 \pm 0.01 \mathrm{~A} \\
\mathrm{As}-\mathrm{C} & =1.99 \pm 0.04 \mathrm{~A} \\
\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C} & =1.30 \pm 0.03 \mathrm{~A}
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
\angle \mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{As}-\mathrm{C}=95^{\circ} \pm 1^{\circ}
$$

$$
\angle \mathrm{As}-\mathrm{C}-\mathrm{C}=120^{\circ} \pm 2^{\circ}
$$

These values are in good agreement with the dimensions of the few other arsenic derivatives whose structures have been determined, chiefly by electron-diffraction. ${ }^{6}$ In trimethylarsine, ${ }^{7}$ As- $\mathrm{C}=1.98 \pm 0.02 \AA$ and $\angle \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{As}-\mathrm{C}=96^{\circ} \pm 5^{\circ}$; the significantly larger angle in bromodiphenylarsine is not surprising in view of the presence of the bulkier phenyl substituents. In an electron-diffraction investigation of bromodimethylarsine, ${ }^{8}$ $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{AsBr}$, the dimensions $\mathrm{As}-\mathrm{Br}=2.34 \pm 0.04 \AA$ and $\angle \mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{As}-\mathrm{C}=96^{\circ} \pm 3^{\circ}$ were derived by assuming $\mathrm{As}-\mathrm{C}$ and $\angle \mathrm{C}-\mathrm{As}-\mathrm{C}$ identical with those in $\mathrm{Me}_{3} \mathrm{As}$. The $\angle \mathrm{Br}-\mathrm{As}-\mathrm{C}$ angle in bromodiphenylarsine is almost identical with that given for $\mathrm{Me}_{2} \mathrm{AsBr}$, but the As- Br bond is a little longer than the corresponding bond in the methyl derivative. The

[^2]As- Br distance in arsenic tribromide has been reported as $2 \cdot 31,{ }^{9} 2 \cdot 33,{ }^{10}$ and $2 \cdot 36 ~ \AA,{ }^{11}$ and again the bond distance in $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{AsBr}$ is slightly greater than these values.

The angle between the planes of the aromatic rings is about $60^{\circ}$, and in this particular configuration the closest inter-ring $\mathrm{C} \cdots \mathrm{C}$ and $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ contacts are about 3.4 and $2 \cdot 8 \AA$, respectively, these distances being exactly equal to the sums of the corresponding van der Waals radii. These approaches may be compared with the $\mathrm{H} \cdots \mathrm{H}$ distance of rather less than $1 \AA$ which would pertain in a model with the rings coplanar and in the $\mathrm{C}_{1}-$-As- $\mathrm{C}_{7}$ plane. Such a model is not very satisfactory for a more general description of the molecular configuration, and probably the most useful comparison is with an "ideal " model in which there is maximum interaction between the aromatic $\pi$-electrons and the arsenic lone pair, that is, in which there is maximum overlap between the $\pi$-orbitals and the lone pair.

In setting up such an ideal model it was necessary to define the direction of the lone pair, since this is not determined, as that direction which makes equal angles with the $\mathrm{As}-\mathrm{Br}, \mathrm{As}-\mathrm{C}_{1}$, and $\mathrm{As}-\mathrm{C}_{7}$ bonds; these angles were calculated to be $119^{\circ}$. The phenyl groups were then oriented so that the ring normals lay in the planes defined by the lone pair and the As-C bonds. In the true structure ring $\mathrm{I}\left(\mathrm{C}_{1}-\mathrm{C}_{6}\right)$ is rotated about the As- $\mathrm{C}_{1}$ bond by $80^{\circ}$ from its position in this idealized structure, and ring II $\left(\mathrm{C}_{7}-\mathrm{C}_{12}\right)$ by $33^{\circ}$ about bond As-C $\mathrm{C}_{7}$. These figures are of course only qualitative, since the exact location of the arsenic lone pair cannot be determined, but they do indicate that ring I is twisted by about $\pi / 2$ from the ideal model, and is therefore in a position where interaction with the lone pair is negligible, while ring II, which is rotated only by about $30^{\circ}$, will interact to a considerable extent.

The molecular configuration is, of course, influenced by steric interferences other than those between the phenyl groups; the minimum distances between the bromine atom and the aromatic rings are $\mathrm{Br} \cdots \mathrm{C}_{6}=3.32 \AA$ and $\mathrm{Br} \cdots \mathrm{H}_{6}=2.84 \AA$, and these could probably not be reduced further because of steric repulsion. In addition, since the energies involved in rotating the rings are not very large, intermolecular forces could possibly influence the molecular configuration.

It is possibly significant however that for diphenyltrifluoromethylarsine, $\mathrm{Ph}_{2} \mathrm{As}^{-} \mathrm{CF}_{3}$, a very similar configuration, that is, with one ring interacting strongly and the other only weakly with the arsenic lone pair, has been proposed to explain the spectroscopic properties in solution. ${ }^{12}$
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